arXiv:1701.03294v1 [math.PR] 12 Jan 2017

On Discrete Gibbs Measure Approximation to Runs

A. N. Kumar* and N. S. Upadhye**

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras,
Chennai-600036, India.
*Email: amit.kumar2703@gmail.com
**Email: neelesh@iitm.ac.in

Abstract

In this paper, some erroneous results for a dependent setup arising from independent sequence of Bernoulli trials are corrected. Next, a Stein operator for discrete Gibbs measure is derived using PGF approach. Also, an operator for dependent setup is derived and shown as perturbation of the Stein operator for discrete Gibbs measure. Finally, using perturbation technique and explicit form of distributions from discrete Gibbs measure, new error bounds between the dependent setup and Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions are obtained by matching up to first two moments.

Keywords : Runs, Markov chain embedding technique, waiting time, Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distribution, perturbations, probability generating function, Stein operator, Stein's method.
MSC 2010 Subject Classifications : Primary : 62E17, 62E20 ; Secondary : 60C05, 60E05.

1 Introduction

Runs and patterns is an important topic in the areas related to probability and statistics such as, reliability theory, meteorology and agriculture, statistical testing and quality control among many others (see Balakrishnan and Koutras [5], Kumar and Upadhye [21] and Dafnis *et al.* [14]). The research in this topic initiated with the runs related to success/failure (see Philippou *et al.* [24] and Philippou and Makri [25]). A series of articles later followed in this area, see Aki [1], Aki *et al.* [2], Antzoulakos *et al.* [3], Antzoulakos and Chadjiconstantinidis [4], Balakrishnan and Koutras [5] and Makri *et al.* [22] and references therein. Furthermore, Haung and Tsai [17] extended the pattern by considering runs of failures and successes together which is known as (k_1, k_2)-runs or modified distribution of order k. Recently, Dafnis *et al.* [14] studied three different types of (k_1, k_2)-runs, as described below.

Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_n$ be a finite sequence of independent Bernoulli trials with success probability $\mathbb{P}(\eta_i = 1) = p$ and failure probability $\mathbb{P}(\eta_i = 0) = q = 1 - p$. Then, three types of dependent setups can be observed for any pair of positive integers (k_1, k_2), excluding $(0, 0)$, as follows:

(T1) at least k_1 consecutive failures followed by at least k_2 consecutive successes.

(T2) exactly k_1 consecutive failures followed by exactly k_2 consecutive successes.

(T3) at most (at least one) k_1 consecutive failures followed by at most (at least one) k_2 consecutive successes.

Let $B^n_{k_1,k_2}$, $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and $N^n_{k_1,k_2}$ be the number of occurrences of the events of first, second and third kind out of n trials respectively. However, the distribution of the event of first type ($B^n_{k_1,k_2}$) is studied by Huang and Tsai [17] in 1991 where probability generating function (PGF), recursive relations for probability mass function (PMF), Poisson convergence and an extension of this distribution is given. Recently, approximation problem related

$B^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is studied widely, for example, Poisson approximation to $B^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by Vellaisamy [29], binomial convoluted Poisson approximation to $B^n_{1,1}$ is studied by Upadhye *et al.* [28], negative binomial approximation to waiting time for $B^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and pseudo-binomial approximation to $B^n_{k_1,k_2}$ are given by Kumar and Upadhye [20, 21].

In this paper, we focus on the distribution of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$. First, we rederive recursive relations in PGFs, PMFs and moments and obtain explicit forms of PGF and PMF. We also rederive PGF, recursive relations in PMFs and moments of waiting for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ which correct some erroneous results of Dafnis *et al.* [14] (see Remark 3.2). Next, we derive a Stein operator for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as perturbation of discrete Gibbs measure (DGM). Further, we obtain new bounds for approximation of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ to Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions by matching the parameters.

Next, Stein's method (Stein [27]) is used to derive bounds between $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions. Stein's method can be described in three steps. First, we obtain an operator (known as Stein operator denoted by $\mathcal{A}_X$ for a random variable X) which is acting on a large class of functions $\mathcal{G}_X$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{A}_X g(X)\right] = 0, \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{G}_X.$$

There are several approaches to obtain Stein operators. However, we use PGF approach given by Upadhye *et al.* [28]. In the second step, we obtain the solution of Stein equation

$$\mathcal{A}_X g(m) = f(m) - \mathbb{E}f(X), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G},$$

In the last step, replacing m by a random variable Y in Stein equation and taking expectations and supremum lead to

$$d_{TV}\left(X, Y\right) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{J}} \left|\mathbb{E}f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(Y)\right| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{J}} \left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{A}_X g(Y)\right]\right|,$$

where $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathbf{1}(S)|\ S\ measurable\}$ and $\mathbf{1}(S)$ is the indicator function of the set S. For more details and applications, see Barbour [6], Barbour and Chen [8], Barbour *et al.* [7, 9, 10], Čekanavičius [11], Chen *et al.* [13], Eichelsbacher and Reinert [15], Norudin and Peccati [23], Ley *et al.* [19], Reinert [26] and references therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first explain Markov chain embedding technique which is useful to derive double generating function of finite integer valued random variables. Next, we discuss some known results for Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial approximations. In Section 3, we rederive recursive relations in PGFs, PMFs, and moments for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and obtain PGF and PMF. Next, we rederive PGF, recursive relations in PMFs and moments of waiting time for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$. In Section 4, we obtain recursive relations in PGFs and its derivative which are useful in deriving Stein operator for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ via PGF approach. In Section 5, we derive a Stein operator for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as perturbation of DGM. Finally, in Section 6, we obtain new error bounds in total variation distance between $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions by matching first and second moments.

2 Known Results

In this section, we first describe Markov chain embedding technique which is useful to obtain the double generating function for the distributions of runs and waiting time distributions of runs.

Let Z_n be a non-negative finite integer valued random variable which is obtained by observing a specific pattern from a sequence of Bernoulli trials. Then, a Markov embedding technique (see Fu and Koutras [16], Koutras [18], Balakrishnan and Koutras [5] and Dafnis *et al.* [14]) is used to obtain the exact distribution for Z_n and the technique is as follows:

Definition 2.1. *The random variable Z_n is called Markov chain embeddable variable of binomial type (MVB) if there exists a Markov chain $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$ defined on discrete space Ω such that*

(i) *Ω can be partitioned as*

$$\Omega = \cup_{x\geq 0} C_x, \quad C_x = \{c_{x,0}, c_{x,1}, \ldots, c_{x,m-1}\}$$

(ii) $\mathbb{P}(Y_t \in C_y | Y_{t-1} \in C_x) = 0$ *for all* $y \neq x, x+1$ *and* $t \geq 1$.

(iii) $\mathbb{P}(Z_n = x) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in C_x)$, *for* $n \geq 0$, $x \geq 0$.

Note that, only two transitions from C_x to C_x and C_{x+1} are possible which yield the following $m \times m$ matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} A_t(x) &= (\mathbb{P}(Y_t = c_{x,j} | Y_{t-1} = c_{x,i}))_{m\times m}, \\ B_t(x) &= (\mathbb{P}(Y_t = c_{x+1,j} | Y_{t-1} = c_{x,i}))_{m\times m}, \quad \text{for } i,j = 0, 1 \ldots, m-1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\ell_n = \sup\{x : \mathbb{P}(Z_n = x) > 0\}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{1}} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)_{1\times m}$ and the initial distribution of Markov chain is denoted by

$$\pi_x = (\mathbb{P}(Y_0 = c_{x,0}), \mathbb{P}(Y_0 = c_{x,1}), \ldots, \mathbb{P}(Y_0 = c_{x,m-1})), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \ell_0.$$

Then, from the convention the $\mathbb{P}(Z_0 = 0) = 1$, we have $\pi_0 \tilde{\mathbf{1}}' = 1$ and $\pi_x \tilde{\mathbf{1}}' = 0$ for $1 \leq x \leq \ell_0$.
Next, let $\phi_n(t)$ and $\Phi(t, z)$ denote the single and double generating function of Z_n, i.e.,

$$\phi_n(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\ell_n} \mathbb{P}(Z_n = m) t^m \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(t, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n.$$

Also, let $M_r(t)$ and $M(t, z)$ be the single and double generating for ρ_r which is the waiting time of r-th occurrence of Z_n. i.e.,

$$M_r(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\rho_r = m) t^m \quad \text{and} \quad M(t, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_r(t) z^n.$$

Now, we have the following result for the double generating for Z_n and ρ_r.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{I}$ *be* $m \times m$ *identity matrix,* $A = A_t(x)$ *and* $B = B_t(x)$ *for all* $t \geq 1$ *and* $x \geq 0$, *then*

$$\Phi(t, z) = \pi_0 \left(\mathbf{I} - z(A + tB)\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{1}}' \quad \text{and} \quad M(t, z) = 1 + tz\pi_0 \left(\mathbf{I} - t(A + zB)\right)^{-1} B\tilde{\mathbf{1}}'.$$

For more details, we refer the reader to Antzoulakos *et al.* [3], Balakrishnan and Koutras [5], Dafnis *et al.* [14], Fu and Koutras [16], Koutras [18] and references therein.
Next, we discuss some relevant results on Stein's method. Let X_1, X_2 and X_3 follow Poisson (with parameter λ), pseudo-binomial (with parameter $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{p}$) and negative binomial (with parameter $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{p}$) distribution respectively with PMFs

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_1 = m) &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^m}{m!}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2 \ldots, \\ \mathbb{P}(X_2 = m) &= \frac{1}{R}\binom{\check{\alpha}}{m} \check{p}^m \check{q}^{\check{\alpha}-m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \check{\alpha} \rfloor, \\ \mathbb{P}(X_3 = m) &= \binom{\hat{\alpha}+m-1}{m} \hat{p}^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{q}^m, \qquad m = 0, 1, \ldots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha} > 0$ and $0 < \check{p},\ \hat{p} < 1$ with $\check{q} = 1 - \check{p}$, $\hat{q} = 1 - \hat{p}$, $\lfloor \check{\alpha} \rfloor$ is the greatest integer function of $\check{\alpha}$ and $R = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \check{\alpha} \rfloor} \binom{\check{\alpha}}{m} \check{p}^m \check{q}^{\check{\alpha}-m}$. Now, throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all bounded functions and

$$\mathcal{G}_X = \{g :\ g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(x) = 0,\ \text{for } x \notin Supp(X)\}$$

be associated with Stein operator $\mathcal{A}_X$, where $Supp(X)$ denotes the support of a random variable X. From (4), (5) and (6) of Upadhye *et al.* [28], Stein operators for X_1, X_2 and X_3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_{X_1}(g(m)) = \lambda g(m+1) - mg(m), && g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_1} \text{ and } m = 0,1,2,\ldots,\\
&\mathcal{A}_{X_2}(g(m)) = (\check{\alpha} - m)\check{p}g(m+1) - m\check{q}g(m), && g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_2} \text{ and } m = 0,1,\ldots,\lfloor \check{\alpha} \rfloor\\
&\mathcal{A}_{X_3}(g(m)) = \hat{q}(\hat{\alpha} + m)g(m+1) - mg(m), && g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_3} \text{ and } m = 0,1,2,\ldots
\end{aligned}$$

and the bounds for the solution of the Stein equation for Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions respectively is given by

$$\|\Delta g\| \leq \frac{2\|f\|}{\max(1,\lambda)}, \quad \|\Delta g\| \leq \frac{2\|f\|}{\lfloor \check{\alpha} \rfloor \check{p}\check{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta g\| \leq \frac{2\|f\|}{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}. \tag{1}$$

For more details, we refer the reader to Čekanavičius and Roos [12], Upadhye *et al.* [28] and Vellaisamy *et al.* [30] and references therein.

3 (k_1, k_2)-runs and Related Distributions

In this section, we first formulate the distribution of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ mathematically. Next, we derive the recursive relations for PGFs, PMFs and moments and obtain the PGF and PMF by solving the recursive relations. Finally, we derive the PGF for waiting time distribution and obtain the recursive relations in PMFs and moments of waiting time distribution.

Recall that $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is the distribution of observing the event exactly k_1 consecutive failures followed by exactly k_2 consecutive successes. Mathematically, it can be formulated as

$$\mathbb{I}_{k_1+k_2+1} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k_1}(1-\eta_i)\prod_{j=1}^{k_2}\eta_{j+k_1}\right](1-\eta_{k_1+k_2+1})$$

and

$$\mathbb{I}_l = \eta_{l-k_1-k_2-1}\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k_1}(1-\eta_{i+l-k_1-k_2-1})\right)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k_2}\eta_{j+l-k_2-1}\right)\right](1-\eta_l), \quad k_1+k_2+2 \leq l \leq n.$$

Then,

$$M^n_{k_1,k_2} = \sum_{l=k_1+k_2+1}^{n} \mathbb{I}_l. \tag{2}$$

Next, we derive the distribution of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and waiting time for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$.

3.1 Distribution of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$

We use Markov chain embedding technique, as described in Section 2, to obtain the distribution of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ (see Dafnis *et al.* [14]). Using (2), we can write $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ in MVB as follows:

Define $\ell_n = \sup\{x : \mathbb{P}(M^n_{k_1,k_2} = x) > 0\} = \lfloor n/(k_1+k_2) \rfloor$ and $C_x = \{c_{x,0}, c_{x,1}, \ldots, c_{x,k_1+k_2+1}\}$, where $c_{x,i} = (x,i)$, $0 \leq i \leq k_1+k_2+1$. Also, define a Markov chain $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$ on $\Omega = \cup_{x=0}^{\ell_n} C_x$ as $Y_t = (x,j)$ if the event of the distribution $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ occurred x times in the first t outcomes and

- $j = 0$, if $\eta_t = 1$ and there is no pattern with exactly k_1 failures before η_t. If there is pattern with exactly k_1 failures then there is no pattern of $k_2 - 1$ successes followed by exactly k_1 failures before η_t.
- $j = i$, $1 \leq i \leq k_1$, if $\eta_t = \eta_{t-1} = \cdots = \eta_{t-i+1} = 0$ and the $(t-i)$-th outcome is a success (if exists).

- $j = k_1^+$, if there is pattern of more than k_1 failures. i.e., there exists a positive integers $l \geq k_1 + 1$ such that $\eta_t = \eta_{t-1} = \cdots = \eta_{t-l+1} = 0$.
- $j = k_1 + i$, $1 \leq i \leq k_2$, if $\eta_t = \eta_{t-1} = \cdots = \eta_{t-i+1} = 0$, $\eta_{t-i} = \eta_{t-i-1} = \cdots = \eta_{t-i-(k_1-1)} = 1$ and the $(t-i-k_1)$-th outcome is a success (if exists).

Also, we say the pattern is complete if the failure occurs after exactly k_1 consecutive failures followed by exactly k_2 consecutive successes. Now, $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ becomes MVB with this setup and $\pi_0 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)_{1\times(k_1+k_2+2)}$,

$$A = \begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} (\cdot,0) & (\cdot,1) & (\cdot,2) & \cdot & (\cdot,k_1-1) & (\cdot,k_1) & (\cdot,k_1^+) & (\cdot,k_1+1) & (\cdot,k_1+2) & \cdot & (\cdot,k_1+k_2-1) & (\cdot,\ k_1+k_2) \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} p & q & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & q & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ p & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & q & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & q & p & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & q & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & q & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & p \\ p & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

where A is $(k_1 + k_2 + 2) \times (k_1 + k_2 + 2)$ matrix and B is the matrix of entries zero except $(k_1 + k_2 + 2, 2)$ which is equal to q.
Therefore, from Theorem 2.1, the double generating function of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by

$$\Phi(t,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n = \frac{1 + (qz)^{k_1}(pz)^{k_2}(1-qz)(1-t)}{1 - z + (qz)^{k_1}(pz)^{k_2}(1-t)(1-qz)(1-pz)}.$$

Let $a(p) := q^{k_1}p^{k_2}$ and $k := k_1 + k_2$, then

$$\Phi(t,z) = \frac{1 + a(p)z^k(1-qz)(1-t)}{1 - z + a(p)z^k(1-t)(1-qz)(1-pz)}. \tag{3}$$

Now, using $\Phi(t,z)$, the following recursive relations follow:

Theorem 3.1. *The PGF of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ satisfy the following recursive relation*

$$\phi_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \leq k, \\ 1 + qa(p)(t-1) & n = k+1, \\ \phi_{n-1}(t) + a(p)(t-1)[\phi_{n-k}(t) - \phi_{n-k-1}(t) + qp\phi_{n-k-2}(t)] & n \geq k+2. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $p_{m,n} = \mathbb{P}\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2} = m\right)$ be the PMF of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$, then $p_{m,n}$ satisfy the following recursive relation*

$$p_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & n \leq k,\ m > 0 \\ 1 & n \leq k,\ m = 0 \\ qa(p) & n = k+1,\ m = 1, \\ 1 - qa(p) & n = k+1,\ m = 0, \\ p_{m,n-1} - a(p)[(p_{m,n-k} - p_{m-1,n-k}) & \\ -(p_{m,n-k-1} - p_{m-1,n-k-1}) + qp(p_{m,n-k-2} - p_{m-1,n-k-2})] & n \geq k+2,\ m \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mu_{n,j} = \mathbb{E}[(M^n_{k_1,k_2})^j]$ be the j-th moment of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$. Then, for $j \geq 1$, $\mu_{n,j}$ satisfy the following recursive relation*

$$\mu_{n,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & n \leq k, \\ qa(p) & n = k+1, \\ \mu_{n-1,j} + a(p)\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{l} (\mu_{n-k,l} - \mu_{n-k-1,l} + qp\mu_{n-k-2,l}) & n \geq k+2. \end{cases}$$

The proofs of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 follow using steps similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of Dafnis *et al.* [14]. Next, we solve the recursive relations and derive the PGF and PMF of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$.

Theorem 3.4. *The PGF of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by*

$$\phi_n(t) = \psi_n(t) - a(p)(t-1)[\psi_{n-k}(t) - q\psi_{n-k-1}(t)],$$

where

$$\psi_n(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk-r(k+1)}{k+2} \rfloor} \binom{n-l(k-1)-rk-s(k+1)}{n-lk-r(k+1)-s(k+2), l, r, s} (-1)^r (qp)^s (a(p)(t-1))^{l+r+s}$$

and $\binom{n-l(k-1)-rk-s(k+1)}{n-lk-r(k+1)-s(k+2),l,r,s} = \frac{(n-l(k-1)-rk-s(k+1))!}{(n-lk-r(k+1)-s(k+2))!\ l!\ r!\ s!}$.

Proof. For $|z + a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-z+qpz^2)| < 1$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-z+qpz^2)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (1 + a(p)(t-1)z^{k-1}(1-z+qpz^2))^n \\ &\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{l} \sum_{s=0}^{r} \binom{n}{l} \binom{l}{r} \binom{r}{s} (-1)^{r-s} (qp)^s (a(p)(t-1))^l z^{n+r+s+l(k-1)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+l+r+s}{n,l,r,s} (-1)^r (qp)^s (a(p)(t-1))^{l+r+s} z^{n+lk+r(k+1)+s(k+2)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=s(k+2)}^{\infty} \binom{n+l+r-s(k+1)}{n-s(k+2),l,r,s} (-1)^r (qp)^s (a(p)(t-1))^{l+r+s} z^{n+lk+r(k+1)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k+2} \rfloor} \binom{n+l+r-s(k+1)}{n-s(k+2),l,r,s} (-1)^r (qp)^s (a(p)(t-1))^{l+r+s} z^{n+lk+r(k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Using similar steps for l and r, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-z+qpz^2)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk-r(k+1)}{k+2} \rfloor} \binom{n-l(k-1)-rk-s(k+1)}{n-lk-r(k+1)-s(k+2),l,r,s} (-1)^r (qp)^s (a(p)(t-1))^{l+r+s} \right) z^n \\ &\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(t) z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (3), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n = [1 + a(p)z^k(1-qz)(1-t)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n(t) z^n.$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^n, we get the required result. □

Theorem 3.5. *The PMF of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by*

$$p_{m,n} = \tilde{p}_{m,n} + a(p)[(\tilde{p}_{m,n-k} - \tilde{p}_{m-1,n-k}) - q(\tilde{p}_{m,n-k-1} - \tilde{p}_{m-1,n-k-1})],$$

where

$$\tilde{p}_{m,n} = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{v=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk-u(k+1)}{k+2} \rfloor} \binom{n-l(k-1)-uk-v(k+1)}{n-lk-u(k+1)-v(k+2),l,u,v} \binom{l+u+v}{m} (-1)^{l-m-v} a(p)^{l+u+v} (qp)^v$$

Proof. Multiplying by $z_1^n z_2^m$ and taking summation over n and m in recursive relation of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_{m,n} z_1^n z_2^m = \frac{1 + a(p)(1-qz_1)(1-z_2)z_1^k}{1 - z_1 + a(p)(1-z_2)(1-z_1+qpz_1^2)z_1^k}. \tag{4}$$

For $\left|z_1 + a(p)z_1^k(z_2-1)(1-z_1+qpz_1^2)\right| < 1$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{1 - z_1 - a(p)z_1^k(z_2-1)(qpz_1^2 - z_1 + 1)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_1^n (1 + a(p)z_1^{k-1}(z_2-1)(qpz_1^2 - z_1 + 1))^n \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+l}{l} a(p)^l (qpz_1^2 - z_1 + 1)^l z_1^{n+lk} (z_2-1)^l \\
&\qquad = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+l+m}{l+m} \binom{l+m}{m} (-1)^l a(p)^{l+m} (qpz_1^2 - z_1 + 1)^{l+m} z_1^{n+lk+mk} \right) z_2^m
\end{aligned}$$

Next, following steps similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{1 - z_1 - a(p)z_1^k(z_2-1)(qpz_1^2 - z_1 + 1)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk}{k+1} \rfloor} \sum_{v=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-lk-u(k+1)}{k+2} \rfloor} \binom{n-l(k-1)-uk-v(k+1)}{n-lk-u(k+1)-v(k+2),l,u,v} \times \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. \binom{l+u+v}{m} (-1)^{l-m-v} a(p)^{l+u+v} (qp)^v \right) z_1^n z_2^m \\
&\qquad = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{p}_{m,n} z_1^n z_2^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting in (4) and comparing the coefficients of $z_1^n z_2^m$, we get the required result. □

Remark 3.1. *Dafnis et al. [14] also observed the distribution of exactly k_1 consecutive failures followed by exactly k_2 consecutive successes out of n trials, where the concept of failure after this pattern is not considered which is essential to clarify that the pattern is exact or not. The PGF and PMF of Dafnis et al. [14], which is $\psi_n(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_{m,n}$ respectively, seem to satisfy the condition of PGF and PMF. But the distribution of waiting time is not satisfying the necessary condition of PGF which is shown in Remark 3.2.*

3.2 Waiting Time for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$

Let W_r be the waiting time for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$. Then, using Theorem 2.1, the double generating function of W_r can be easily calculated. Also, using double generating function, we derive the PGF, recursive relations in PMFs and moments as follows:

Theorem 3.6. *The PGF of W_r, for $r \geq 1$, is given by*

$$M_r(t) = \frac{qt}{1-pt}\left(\frac{a(p)t^k(1-qt)(1-pt)}{1-t+a(p)t^k(1-qt)(1-pt)}\right)^r.$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f_r(m) = \mathbb{P}(W_r = m)$ be the PMF of W_r, then $f_r(m)$ satisfies the following recursive relation*

$$f_r(m) = f_r(m-1)+a(p)[f_{r-1}(m-k)-f_r(m-k)-f_{r-1}(m-k-1)+f_r(m-k-1)+qpf_{r-1}(m-k-2)-qpf_r(m-k-2)],$$

for $r \geq 2$ and $m \geq rk+1$ with initial condition $f_0(m) = \delta_{m,0}$, $f_r(m) = 0$ for $m \leq rk$ and

$$f_1(k+1) = qa(p), \qquad f_1(k+2) = qpa(p)$$

and

$$f_1(m) = f_1(m-1) - a(p)[f_1(m-k) - f_1(m-k-1) + qpf_1(m-k-2)], \quad m \geq k+2,$$

where $\delta_{m,0}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\tilde{\mu}_{r,j} = \mathbb{E}[(W_r)^j]$ be the j-th moment of W_r. Then $\tilde{\mu}_{r,j}$ satisfy the following recursive relation*

$$\tilde{\mu}_{r,j} = \sum_{l=0}^{j}\binom{j}{l}[\tilde{\mu}_{r,l} + a(p)(k^{j-l} - (k+1)^{j-l} + qp(k+2)^{j-l})(\tilde{\mu}_{r-1,l} - \tilde{\mu}_{r,l})], \quad l \geq 1$$

with initial condition $\tilde{\mu}_{0,j} = \delta_{j,0}$ and

$$\tilde{\mu}_{1,j} = \sum_{l=0}^{j}\binom{j}{l}\tilde{\mu}_{1,l}[1 - a(p)(k^{j-l} - (k+1)^{j-l} + qp(k+2)^{j-l})] + qa(p)[(k+1)^j - q(k+2)^j].$$

The proofs of Theorems 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 follow from the Theorems 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 of Dafnis *et al.* [14].

Remark 3.2. *In Theorem 4.4 of Dafnis et al. [14], the PGF for r-th waiting time is given by*

$$H_r(z) = \left(\frac{(qz)^{k_1}(pz)^{k_2}(1-qz)(1-pz)}{1-z+(qz)^{k_1}(pz)^{k_2}(1-qz)(1-pz)}\right)^r (1-pz)^{-1}.$$

The necessary condition of PGF is $H_r(1) = 1$ but here, we have $H_r(1) = 1/q \neq 1$. So, $H_r(z)$ is not the PGF for r-th waiting time distribution and also the recursive relations in Theorems 4.5 and 4.6 are not correct. Also, in similar spirit, we can say that Theorem 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 may not be correct, as both the results derived by using same matrices A and B. Hence, we have corrected some results of Dafnis et al. [14], Theorems 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6.

4 Recursive Relations

In this section, we derive the recursive relations between PGF and its derivative for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ which are used in Section 5. Define

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = -1, \quad a_3 = qp, \quad d_1 = d_3 = n-k-2, \quad d_2 = n-k-1,$$

$$b_1(n) = n+1, \quad b_3(n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad b_2(n) = \begin{cases} -q(k+2) & n = k+1, \\ n+1-q & n \geq k+2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The PGF of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ satisfies the following recursive relations*

(i) $\phi'_n(t) = a(p)[(n-k)\phi_{n-k}(t) - (n-k-q)\phi_{n-k-1}(t) + qp(n-k-1)\phi_{n-k-2}(t)]$
$\qquad - a(p)(t-1)[(k-1)\phi'_{n-k}(t) - k\phi'_{n-k-1}(t) + qp(k+1)\phi'_{n-k-2}(t)]$

(ii) $\phi_n'(t) = a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)C_s(t)\phi_{n-k-s-i+1}(t)$,

$$where\ C_s(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{s-lk}{k+1} \rfloor} \binom{s-l(k-1)-mk}{s-lk-m(k+1), l, m} \frac{(k+1)^{s-lk-m(k+1)}}{(k+2)^{s-l(k-1)-mk+1}} (-1)^m 2^l [a(p)(t-1)]^{l+m}.$$

Proof. (i) From (3), the double PGF of M_{k_1,k_2}^n is given by

$$\Phi(t,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n = \frac{1 - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-qz)}{1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-qz)(1-pz)}$$

or equivalently

$$[1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-qz)(1-pz))] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n = 1 - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-qz). \tag{5}$$

Differentiating (5) w.r.t. t and z, we have

$$[1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1 - z + qpz^2)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n'(t) z^n - a(p)z^k[1 - z + qpz^2] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n = -a(p)z^k(1-qz) \tag{6}$$

$$[1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1 - z + qpz^2)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\phi_n(t) z^n - [z + a(p)(t-1)z^k(k - (k+1)z + qp(k+2)z^2)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n$$
$$= -a(p)(t-1)z^k(k - q(k+1)z). \tag{7}$$

Multiplying by $(z + a(p)(t-1)z^k(k - (k+1)z + qp(k+2)z^2))$ in (6), $a(p)z^k(1 - z + qpz^2)$ in (7) and subtracting, we get

$$[z + a(p)(t-1)z^k(k - (k+1)z + qp(k+2)z^2)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n'(t) z^n - a(p)z^k(1 - z + qpz^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\phi_n(t) z^n$$
$$= \frac{a(p)z^{k+1}(1-qz)(pa(p)(t-1)z^k(1-qz) - 1)}{1 - z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(1-qz)(1-pz))}. \tag{8}$$

Now, adding (6) and (8) yields to

$$[1 + a(p)(t-1)z^k((k-1) - kz + qp(k+1)z^2)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n'(t) z^n - a(p)z^k(1 - z + qpz^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)\phi_n(t) z^n$$
$$= -a(p)z^k(1-qz) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(t) z^n. \tag{9}$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^n, we get the required result. □

(ii) Multiplying by $(k+1)$ in (6) and adding with (9), we have

$$[(k+2) - (k+1)z - a(p)(t-1)z^k(2-z)] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n'(t) z^n = qa(p)(k+2)z^{k+1}$$
$$+ a(p) \left[\sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} (n+1)\phi_{n-k}(t) z^n - \sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} (n+1-q)\phi_{n-k-1}(t) z^n + qp \sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} n\phi_{n-k-2}(t) z^n \right]. \tag{10}$$

Following steps similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(k+2)-(k+1)z-a(p)(t-1)z^k(2-z)} \\
&\quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n-lk}{k+1}\rfloor}\binom{n-l(k-1)-mk}{n-lk-m(k+1),l,m}\frac{(k+1)^{n-lk-m(k+1)}}{(k+2)^{n-l(k-1)-mk+1}}(-1)^m 2^l[a(p)(t-1)]^{l+m}\right)z^n \\
&\quad=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}C_n(t)z^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting in (10) and comparing the coefficients of z^n, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_n'(t)&=a(p)\left[\sum_{s=0}^{n-k-2}(n-s+1)C_s(t)\phi_{n-k-s}(t)-\sum_{s=0}^{n-k-1}b_2(n-s)C_s(t)\phi_{n-k-s-1}(t)+qp\sum_{s=0}^{n-k-2}(n-s)C_s(t)\phi_{n-k-s-2}(t)\right] \\
&=a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)C_s(t)\phi_{n-k-s-i+1}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii). □

5 Discrete Gibbs Measures and Stein Operator

In this section, using PGF approach, we derive Stein operator for DGM and a Stein operator for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as perturbation of DGM.

DGM contains family of discrete distributions and its PMF is given by

$$\gamma(m)=\frac{1}{\beta}\frac{e^{U(m)}w^m}{m!},\quad m=0,1,\ldots,N, \tag{11}$$

where $w>0$ is fixed, $N\in\mathbb{N}_0\cup\{\infty\}$, $U:\mathbb{N}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function and $\beta=\sum_{m=0}^{N}\frac{e^{U(m)}w^m}{m!}$. Also, this representation is not unique. For example, Poisson distribution has representations with $w=\lambda,\ U(m)=-\lambda,\ \beta=1$ and $w=\lambda,\ U(m)=0,\ \beta=e^{\lambda}$. The PGF of DGM is given by

$$G(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{N}\gamma(m)t^m.$$

Therefore, Stein operator for DGM can be calculated using PGF approach as follows

$$G'(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{N}m\gamma(m)t^{m-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{N-1}(m+1)\gamma(m+1)t^m=\sum_{m=0}^{N-1}(m+1)\frac{\gamma(m+1)}{\gamma(m)}\gamma(m)t^m=\sum_{m=0}^{N-1}we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}\gamma(m)t^m$$

Comparing the coefficients of t^m from third and last term, we have

$$(m+1)\gamma(m+1)=we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}\gamma(m).$$

Also, this expression can be directly computed by (11). Let $g\in\mathcal{G}_\gamma$, then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N}g(m+1)(m+1)\gamma(m+1)=\sum_{m=0}^{N}g(m+1)we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}\gamma(m).$$

This implies

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N}[we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}g(m+1)-mg(m)]\gamma(m)=0.$$

Hence, Stein operator of DGM is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_\gamma g(m)=we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}g(m+1)-mg(m),\quad m=0,1,\ldots,N.$$

This Stein operator is same as (1.9) of Eichelsbacher and Reinert [15]. For more details, we refer the reader to Eichelsbacher and Reinert [15]. Next, we derive Stein operator for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as perturbation of DGM.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $e^{U(m+1)-U(m)}=a+bm$, then a Stein operator of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}(g(m))=\mathcal{A}_\gamma g(m)+a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\mathbb{E}\left\{g\left(M^{n-k-s-i+1}_{k_1,k_2}+l+1\right)\Big|M^n_{k_1,k_2}=m\right\}\\ -awg(m+1)-wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\mathbb{E}\left\{g\left(M^{n-k-s-i+1}_{k_1,k_2}+l+2\right)\Big|M^n_{k_1,k_2}=m\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\phi_n'(t)=a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)C_s(t)\phi_{n-k-s-i+1}(t). \tag{12}$$

We know that

$$\phi_n(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}p_{m,n}t^m,\qquad \phi_n'(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor-1}(m+1)p_{m+1,n}t^m \tag{13}$$

and it can be easily seen that

$$C_s(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(m)t^m, \tag{14}$$

where

$$B_s(m)=\sum_{l=m(k+1)-s}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}\sum_{r=m-l}^{\lfloor\frac{s-lk}{k+1}\rfloor}\binom{s-l(k-1)-rk}{s-lk-r(k+1),l,r}\binom{l+r}{m}\frac{(k+1)^{s-lk-r(k+1)}}{(k+2)^{s-l(k-1)-rk+1}}(-1)^{l-m}2^la(p)^{l+r}.$$

Substituting (13) and (14) in (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n'(t)&=a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}B_s(m)\mathbf{1}\left(m\le\left\lfloor\frac{s}{k}\right\rfloor\right)t^m\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}\mathbf{1}\left(m\le\left\lfloor\frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k}\right\rfloor\right)t^m\right)\\ &=a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{m}p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m-l)\mathbf{1}\left(l\le\left\lfloor\frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k}\right\rfloor\right)\mathbf{1}\left(m-l\le\left\lfloor\frac{s}{k}\right\rfloor\right)t^m\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $(1-wbt)$ and collecting the coefficients of t^m, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(m+1)p_{m+1,n}\mathbf{1}\left(m\le\lfloor n/k\rfloor-1\right)-wbmp_{m,n}\mathbf{1}\left(m\le\lfloor n/k\rfloor\right)\\ &\quad=a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{m}p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m-l)\mathbf{1}\left(l\le\left\lfloor\frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k}\right\rfloor\right)\mathbf{1}\left(m-l\le\left\lfloor\frac{s}{k}\right\rfloor\right)\\ &\quad\quad-wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{m-1}p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m-l-1)\mathbf{1}\left(l\le\left\lfloor\frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k}\right\rfloor\right)\mathbf{1}\left(m-l-1\le\left\lfloor\frac{s}{k}\right\rfloor\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$, then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(m+1)\left[(m+1)p_{m+1,n}\mathbf{1}\left(m \le \lfloor n/k \rfloor - 1\right) - wbmp_{m,n}\mathbf{1}\left(m \le \lfloor n/k \rfloor\right)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g(m+1)\left[a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{m} p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m-l)\mathbf{1}\left(l \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \right\rfloor\right)\mathbf{1}\left(m-l \le \left\lfloor \frac{s}{k} \right\rfloor\right)\right.$$
$$\left. -wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m-l-1)\mathbf{1}\left(l \le \left\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \right\rfloor\right)\mathbf{1}\left(m-l-1 \le \left\lfloor \frac{s}{k} \right\rfloor\right)\right].$$

This implies

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor}\left[wbmg(m+1) - mg(m)\right]p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \rfloor}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m)$$
$$- wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \rfloor}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} g(m+l+2)p_{l,n-k-s-i+1}B_s(m) = 0.$$

Interchanging m and l for second and third terms, we get

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor}\left[we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}g(m+1) - mg(m)\right]p_{m,n} - aw\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n}$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$
$$- wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \rfloor} g(m+l+2)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} = 0.$$

Hence, Stein operator of $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}(g(m)) = we^{U(m+1)-U(m)}g(m+1) - mg(m) - awg(m+1)$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\mathbb{E}\left\{g\left(M^{n-k-s-i+1}_{k_1,k_2} + l + 1\right)\Big| M^n_{k_1,k_2} = m\right\}$$
$$- wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\mathbb{E}\left\{g\left(M^{n-k-s-i+1}_{k_1,k_2} + l + 2\right)\Big| M^n_{k_1,k_2} = m\right\}.$$

This proves the result. □

6 Approximation Results

In this section, we derive error bounds in total variation distance between $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial by matching first moment. Also, we derive bounds by matching first two moments for pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions.

From Theorem 3.4 or (2), it can be easily seen that

$$\text{Mean}\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right) = q[1 + (n-k-1)p]a(p)$$
$$Var\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right) = q[1 + (n-k-1)p]a(p) - s_{n,k},$$

where $s_{n,k} = \left[(n(2k+3)-(3k+5)(k+1))q^2p^2 - 2(k+1)q^3 + (2n-2k+1)q^2 - 2(n-2k)q\right] a(p)^2$. Also, from Theorem 3.2, it can be verified that

$$p_{m,n} = p_{m,n-l} - a(p)(p^\star_{m,n,l} - p^\star_{m-1,n,l}), \quad \text{for } l \geq 1, \tag{15}$$

where

$$p^\star_{m,n,l} = p_{m,n-k} - p_{m,n-k-l} + \sum_{u=0}^{l-1}[qpp_{m,n-k-u-2} - p_{m,n-k-u}\mathbf{1}(u=n-k) + qp_{m,n-k-u-1}\mathbf{1}(u=n-k-1)].$$

Also, define notations as

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{\star\star}_{m,n,l} &= p^\star_{m,n,k} - p^\star_{m,n,k+l} + \sum_{u=0}^{l-1}[qpp^\star_{m,n,k+u+2} - p^\star_{m,n,k+u}\mathbf{1}(u=n-k) + qp^\star_{m,n,k+u+1}\mathbf{1}(u=n-k-1)],\\
\delta &= 2+q+(1+q+qp)(k+1)\\
\delta_1 &= (2+q+(1+q+qp)(2k+1))(k+1)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$c^{(i)}_{n,k} = \begin{cases}
n-2k-2+\frac{(k+1)^{n-k}}{(k+2)^{n-k-1}}, & i=1;\\
n-3k+k\left(\frac{k+1}{k+2}\right)^{n-2k}, & i=2;\\
n-5k+k(nk+6k+4-k^2)\frac{(k+1)^{n-3k-1}}{(k+2)^{n-3k+1}}, & i=3;\\
n(3k+1)-(11k^2+9k+2)+(2nk+k^2+7k+2)\left(\frac{k+1}{k+2}\right)^{n-2k}, & i=4;\\
(n-2k-2)(k+1)+\frac{(k+1)^{n-k+1}}{(k+2)^{n-k-1}}, & i=5;\\
n(k^2+3k+20)-(17k^3+63k^2+72k+24)+2(n+3k+6)\frac{(k+1)^{n-k+1}}{(k+2)^{n-k-1}}, & i=6.
\end{cases}$$

6.1 One-parameter Approximation

For one-parameter approximations, we derive error bounds between $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and Poisson, pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions, using Stein operator obtained in Section 5, by matching first moment. Recall that a Stein operator for $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}(g(m)) &= \mathcal{A}_\gamma g(m) + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\mathbb{E}\left\{g\left(M^{n-k-s-i+1}_{k_1,k_2}+l+1\right)\Big|M^n_{k_1,k_2}=m\right\}\\
&\quad - awg(m+1) - wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\mathbb{E}\left\{g\left(M^{n-k-s-i+1}_{k_1,k_2}+l+2\right)\Big|M^n_{k_1,k_2}=m\right\}\\
&= \mathcal{A}_\gamma g(m) + \mathcal{U}g(m),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$ is a Stein operator for DGM and $\mathcal{U}$ is a perturbed operator. Taking expectation of $\mathcal{U}$ w.r.t. $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= -aw\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k}\rfloor}g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}\\
&\quad - wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k}\rfloor}g(m+l+2)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\left\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \right\rfloor \leq \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ for all s and i, we replace $\left\lfloor \frac{n-k-s-i+1}{k} \right\rfloor$ by $\lfloor n/k \rfloor$ because $p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$ become zero outside of its range. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = -aw\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$
$$- wba(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+2)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}. \tag{16}$$

6.1.1 Poisson Approximation

Let us take $U(m) = -\lambda, \quad w = \lambda, \quad$ and $\quad \beta = 1$ in (11). Then, $\gamma = X_1$ follows Poisson distribution with parameter λ. Now, matching mean of X_1 and $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as

$$\lambda = q[1 + (n-k-1)p]a(p), \tag{17}$$

we have the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \geq 3k$ with (17), then*

$$d_{TV}\left(X_1, M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right) \leq \frac{(2+qp)a(p)}{q(1+(n-k-1)p)}\left\{(n-k)\delta + qp(k+1)c^{(1)}_{n,k} + c^{(2)}_{n,k}\right\}.$$

Proof. Note that $e^{U(m+1)-U(m)} = 1$, i.e, $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. Therefore, from (16), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_1 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = -\lambda\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \tag{18}$$

It is known that

$$g(m+l+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta g(m+j) + g(m+1). \tag{19}$$

Substituting (19) in (18), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_1 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = -\lambda\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$

Using (15), this expression leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_1 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = -\lambda\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n}$$
$$+ a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)(p^\star_{m,n,k+s+i-1} - p^\star_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$

$$= \left\{ -\lambda + a(p) \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \right\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} g(m+1) p_{m,n}$$
$$- a(p)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1) p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}$$
$$+ a(p) \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta g(m+j) p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}. \tag{20}$$

Using (17), it can be verified that

$$-\lambda + a(p) \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) = 0.$$

The expression (20) now becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_1 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = -a(p)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1) p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}$$
$$+ a(p) \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta g(m+j) p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_1 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]\right| \le \|\Delta g\| \left\{ a(p)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} |a_i| \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} |b_i(n-s)| \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} |p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}| \right.$$
$$\left. + a(p) \sum_{i=1}^{3} |a_i| \sum_{s=k}^{d_i} |b_i(n-s|) \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} l B_s(l) \right\}.$$

Observe that

$$d_i \le n-k-1 \quad \text{and} \quad |b_i(n-s)| \le n-s+1, \quad \text{for all } s, i. \tag{21}$$

Hence, for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_1} \cap \mathcal{G}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$, we get

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_1 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]\right| \le \|\Delta g\| (2+qp) a(p)^2 \left\{ (n-k)\delta + qp(k+1) c^{(1)}_{n,k} + c^{(2)}_{n,k} \right\}.$$

Using (1), we get required result. □

6.1.2 Pseudo-binomial Approximation

Let $\beta = R$, $w = \check{p}/\check{q}$ and $U(m) = \log(\check{\alpha}(\check{\alpha}-1)\cdots(\check{\alpha}-m+1)) + \check{\alpha}\log\check{q}$, then $\gamma = X_2$ follows pseudo-binomial distribution with parameter $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{p}$. Now, for one-parameter approximation, we match the first moment of X_2 and $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as follows:

$$\check{\alpha}\check{p} = q[1 + (n-k-1)p]a(p). \tag{22}$$

Here, matching can be done into two ways, either fix $\check{\alpha}$ and find $\check{p}$ or fix $\check{p}$ and find $\check{\alpha}$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $n \ge 3k$ with (22), then*

$$d_{TV}\left(X_2, M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right) \le \frac{(2+qp)a(p)}{\lfloor \check{\alpha} \rfloor \check{p}\check{q}} \left\{ (n-k)\left(\check{p} + \delta a(p)\right) + qp(k+1) c^{(1)}_{n,k} a(p) + c^{(2)}_{n,k} a(p) \right\}.$$

Proof. Observe that $e^{U(m+1)-U(m)} = \check{\alpha} - m$, i.e., $a = \check{\alpha}$ and $b = -1$. Also, we know that if $\mathcal{A}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$ is a Stein operator then $\check{q}\mathcal{A}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$ is also a Stein operator. Therefore, multiplying by $\check{q}$, we get Stein operator of our interest. Hence, from (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= -\check{\alpha}\check{p}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + \check{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad + \check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+2)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&= -\check{\alpha}\check{p}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad + \check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \Delta g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}. \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (19) in (23) and using (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= \left\{-\check{\alpha}\check{p} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\right\}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} \\
&\quad - a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} \\
&\quad + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad + \check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \Delta g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}. \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (22), it can be easily seen that

$$-\check{\alpha}\check{p} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= -a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} \\
&\quad + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad + \check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \Delta g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_2} \cap \mathcal{G}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$ and using (21), we get

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]\right| \leq \|\Delta g\|(2+qp)a(p)\left\{(n-k)\left(\check{p}+\delta a(p)\right) + qp(k+1)c^{(1)}_{n,k}a(p) + c^{(2)}_{n,k}a(p)\right\}.$$

From (1), we get the required result. □

6.1.3 Negative binomial Approximation

Suppose $\beta = 1,\ w = \hat{q}$ and $U(m) = \log(\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\alpha}+1)\cdots(\hat{\alpha}+m-1)) + \hat{\alpha}\log\hat{p}$, then $\gamma = X_3$ follows negative binomial distribution with parameter $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{q}$. To obtain error bound for one-parameter approximation, match the first moment of X_3 and $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ as follows:

$$\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} = q[1 + (n-k-1)p]a(p). \tag{25}$$

Here, we have flexibility to choose any one $\hat{\alpha}$ or $\hat{p}$ and match other one.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $n \geq 3k$ with (25), then*

$$d_{TV}\left(X_2, M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right) \leq \frac{(2+qp)a(p)}{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}\left\{(n-k)\left(\hat{q}+\delta\hat{p}a(p)\right) + \left(qp(k+1)c^{(1)}_{n,k} + c^{(2)}_{n,k}\right)\hat{p}a(p)\right\}.$$

Proof. We have $e^{U(m+1)-U(m)} = \hat{\alpha}+m$, i.e., $a = \hat{\alpha}$ and $b = 1$. Therefore, from (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= -\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad - \hat{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+2)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&= -\check{\alpha}\check{q}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} + \hat{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad - \check{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \Delta g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Substituting (19) in (26) and using (15), the expression leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= \hat{p}\left\{-\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\right\}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} \\
&\quad - \hat{p}a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+1)p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} \\
&\quad + \hat{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&\quad - \hat{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Using (25), it can be easily seen that

$$-\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = -\hat{p}a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor} B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+1)p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}$$

$$+\hat{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$
$$-\hat{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+l+1)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$

Hence, for $g\in\mathcal{G}_{X_3}\cap\mathcal{G}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$ and using (21), we get

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]\right|\le\|\Delta g\|(2+qp)a(p)\left\{(n-k)\left(\hat{q}+\delta\hat{p}a(p)\right)+\left(qp(k+1)c^{(1)}_{n,k}+c^{(2)}_{n,k}\right)\hat{p}a(p)\right\}.$$

From (1), we get the required result. □

Remarks 6.1. (i) *Observe that bounds obtained in Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 are of constant order or $O(1)$ and are new bounds to the best of our knowledge.*

(ii) *We can derive approximation results for any DGM with $e^{U(m+1)-U(m)}=a+bm$ by following steps similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1.*

(iii) *Bounds obtained in Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 are always valid for all p,q and $k\ge 8$. For $k\le 8$, either p or q should be sufficiently small.*

6.2 Two-parameter Approximation

We first discuss the total variation distance between $M^n_{k_1,k_2}$ and $M^n_{k_1,k_2}+1$ which is useful to obtain error bounds for two-parameter approximation. We know that

$$\binom{n}{k}+\binom{n}{k-1}=\binom{n+1}{k}.$$

Therefore, it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}d_{TV}\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2},M^n_{k_1,k_2}+1\right)&=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor+1}\left|\mathbb{P}\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}=m\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}=m-1\right)\right|\\&=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor+1}\left|\tilde{p}_{m,n,1}+a(p)(\tilde{p}_{m,n-k,2}-q\tilde{p}_{m,n-k-1,2})\right|,\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

where, for $i=1,2$

$$\tilde{p}_{m,n,i}=\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\rfloor}\sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n-lk}{k+1}\rfloor}\sum_{v=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n-lk-u(k+1)}{k+2}\rfloor}\binom{n-l(k-1)-uk-v(k+1)}{n-lk-u(k+1)-v(k+2),l,u,v}\binom{l+u+v+i}{m}(-1)^{l-m-v}(qp)^v a(p)^{l+u+v}.$$

Next, we derive error bounds for pseudo-binomial and negative binomial distributions by matching first two moments.

6.2.1 Pseudo-binomial Approximation

For two-parameter approximation, match the first two moments as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\alpha}\check{p}&=q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)\\\check{\alpha}\check{p}\check{q}&=q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)-s_{n,k}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\check{\alpha} = \frac{(q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p))^2}{s_{n,k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{p} = \frac{s_{n,k}}{q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)}. \tag{29}$$

Next, using Theorem 5.1 with (29), we have the following result for two-parameter approximation.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $n \geq 5k$ and $s_{n,k} > 0$ with (29), then*

$$d_{TV}\left(X_2, M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right) \leq \frac{2(2+qp)a(p)^2}{\lfloor\check{\alpha}\rfloor\check{p}\check{q}} \left\{ \left(4(n-k)\delta_1 + (qp+2\delta)c^{(2)}_{n,k} + c^{(3)}_{n,k} + c^{(4)}_{n,k} + \frac{q^2p^2}{2}c^{(6)}_{n,k} \right) a(p) \right.$$
$$\left. + \left((n-k)\delta + c^{(2)}_{n,k} + c^{(5)}_{n,k} \right)\check{p} \right\} d_{TV}\left(M^{n-3k-3}_{k_1,k_2}, M^{n-3k-3}_{k_1,k_2}+1 \right),$$

where $d_{TV}\left(M^{n-3k-3}_{k_1,k_2}, M^{n-3k-3}_{k_1,k_2}+1 \right)$ is as defined in (28).

Proof. We know that

$$\Delta g(m+j) = \sum_{w=1}^{j-1} \Delta^2 g(m+w) + \Delta g(m+1). \tag{30}$$

Substituting (19) and (30) in (24), we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = \left\{ -\check{\alpha}\check{p} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \right\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n}$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)[(l+\check{p})p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} - a(p)p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}]$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{w=1}^{j-1} \Delta^2 g(m+w)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$
$$+ \check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta^2 g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}.$$

Using (15), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] = \left\{ -\check{\alpha}\check{p} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \right\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n}$$
$$\left\{ -a(p)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \Big[1 - \mathbf{1}(s \leq n-2k-i+1) \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{u=0}^{k+s+i-2} (qp\mathbf{1}(u \leq n-k-2)) - \mathbf{1}(u=n-k) + q\mathbf{1}(u=n-k-1)) \Big] \right.$$
$$\left. + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} lB_s(l) + \check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \right\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)p_{m,n}$$
$$- a(p)^3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} - p^{\star\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})$$
$$+ a(p)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} (l+\check{p})B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} - p^{\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})$$
$$+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{w=1}^{j-1} \Delta^2 g(m+w)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}$$

$$+\check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta^2 g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}.$$

From (29), it can be easily verified that

$$-\check{\alpha}\check{p}+a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)=0$$

$$\text{and}\quad -a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\Big[1-\mathbf{1}(s\le n-2k-i+1)+\sum_{u=0}^{k+s+i-2}(qp\mathbf{1}(u\le n-k-2))$$

$$-\mathbf{1}(u{=}n{-}k){+}q\mathbf{1}(u{=}n{-}k{-}1))\Big]{+}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n{-}s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}lB_s(l){+}\check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n{-}s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)=0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]&=-a(p)^3\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}-p^{\star\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})\\&+a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}(l+\check{p})B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}-p^{\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})\\&+a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\sum_{w=1}^{j-1}\Delta^2 g(m+w)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}\\&+\check{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta^2 g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $g\in\mathcal{G}_{X_2}\cap\mathcal{G}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$ and using (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_2 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]\right|\le 2\|\Delta g\|(2+qp)a(p)^2\Bigg\{&\left(4(n-k)\delta_1+(qp+2\delta)c^{(2)}_{n,k}+c^{(3)}_{n,k}+c^{(4)}_{n,k}+\frac{q^2p^2}{2}c^{(6)}_{n,k}\right)a(p)\\&+\left((n-k)\delta+c^{(2)}_{n,k}+c^{(5)}_{n,k}\right)\check{p}\Bigg\}d_{TV}\left(M^{n-3k-3}_{k_1,k_2},M^{n-3k-3}_{k_1,k_2}+1\right)\end{aligned}$$

From (1), we get the required result. □

6.2.2 Negative binomial Approximation

For negative binomial two-parameter approximation, match the first two moments as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}}&=q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)\\\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}^2}&=q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)-s_{n,k}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\hat{\alpha}=-\frac{(q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p))^2}{s_{n,k}}\quad\text{and}\quad\hat{p}=\frac{q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)}{q[1+(n-k-1)p]a(p)-s_{n,k}}.\tag{31}$$

Theorem 6.5. *Let $n\ge 5k$ and $s_{n,k}<0$ with (31), then*

$$\begin{aligned}d_{TV}\left(X_2,M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\le\frac{2(2+qp)a(p)^2}{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}\Bigg\{&\left(4(n-k)\delta_1+(2\delta+qp)c^{(3)}_{n,k}+c^{(4)}_{n,k}+qpc^{(5)}_{n,k}+\frac{q^2p^2}{2}c^{(7)}_{n,k}\right)\hat{p}a(p)\\&+\left((n-k)\delta+c^{(3)}_{n,k}+qpc^{(6)}_{n,k}\right)\hat{q}\Bigg\}d_{TV}\left(M^{n-3k-2}_{k_1,k_2},M^{n-3k-2}_{k_1,k_2}+1\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $d_{TV}\left(M_{k_1,k_2}^{n-3k-3}, M_{k_1,k_2}^{n-3k-3}+1\right)$ *is as defined in* (28).

Proof. Substituting (19) and (30) in (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M_{k_1,k_2}^n\right)\right] &= \hat{p}\left\{-\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\right\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} \\
&+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)[(l\hat{p}-\hat{q})p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} - \hat{p}a(p)p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}] \\
&+ \hat{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{w=1}^{j-1} \Delta^2 g(m+w)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&- \hat{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta^2 g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (15), the expression leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M_{k_1,k_2}^n\right)\right] &= \hat{p}\left\{-\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\right\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} g(m+1)p_{m,n} \\
&+ \hat{p}\left\{-a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\Big[1 - \mathbf{1}(s \le n-2k-i+1)\right. \\
&+ \left.\sum_{u=0}^{k+s+i-2} (qp\mathbf{1}(u \le n-k-2)) - \mathbf{1}(u=n-k) + q\mathbf{1}(u=n-k-1))\Big]\right. \\
&+ a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} lB_s(l) - \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\Bigg\} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)p_{m,n} \\
&- \hat{p}a(p)^3\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} - p^{\star\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1}) \\
&+ a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} (l\hat{p}-\hat{q})B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1} - p^{\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1}) \\
&+ \hat{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{w=1}^{j-1} \Delta^2 g(m+w)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1} \\
&- \hat{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Delta^2 g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

From (31), it is easy to see that

$$-\frac{\hat{\alpha}\hat{q}}{\hat{p}} + a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) = 0$$

and $$-a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l)\Big[1 - \mathbf{1}(s \le n-2k-i+1) + \sum_{u=0}^{k+s+i-2} (qp\mathbf{1}(u \le n-k-2))$$

$$-\mathbf{1}(u=n-k)+q\mathbf{1}(u=n-k-1))\Big]+a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} lB_s(l) - \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i \sum_{s=0}^{d_i} b_i(n-s) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k \rfloor} B_s(l) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right] &= -\hat{p}a(p)^3\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}-p^{\star\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})\\ &+a(p)^2\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}(l\hat{p}-\hat{q})B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\Delta g(m+1)(p^{\star}_{m,n,k+s+i-1}-p^{\star}_{m-1,n,k+s+i-1})\\ &+\hat{p}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\sum_{w=1}^{j-1}\Delta^2 g(m+w)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}\\ &-\hat{q}a(p)\sum_{i=1}^{3}a_i\sum_{s=0}^{d_i}b_i(n-s)\sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor s/k\rfloor}B_s(l)\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\Delta^2 g(m+j)p_{m,n-k-s-i+1}\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $g\in\mathcal{G}_{X_3}\cap\mathcal{G}_{M^n_{k_1,k_2}}$ and using (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_3 g\left(M^n_{k_1,k_2}\right)\right]\right| \le 2\|\Delta g\|(2+qp)a(p)^2\Bigg\{&\left(4(n-k)\delta_1+(2\delta+qp)c^{(3)}_{n,k}+c^{(4)}_{n,k}+qpc^{(5)}_{n,k}+\frac{q^2p^2}{2}c^{(7)}_{n,k}\right)\hat{p}a(p)\\ &+\left((n-k)\delta+c^{(3)}_{n,k}+qpc^{(6)}_{n,k}\right)\hat{q}\Bigg\}d_{TV}\left(M^{n-3k-2}_{k_1,k_2},M^{n-3k-2}_{k_1,k_2}+1\right).\end{aligned}$$

From (1), we get the required result. □

Remarks 6.2. (i) *Note that there is no condition on $s_{n,k}$ for one-parameter approximation. But, for two-parameter approximation, $s_{n,k}>0$ for pseudo-binomial as mean should be larger than variance and $s_{n,k}<0$ for negative binomial as mean should be smaller than variance.*

(ii) *We can derive approximation results for any DGM with $e^{U(m+1)-U(m)}=a+bm$ and necessary condition for $s_{n,k}$ by following steps similar to the proof of Theorem 6.4.*

(iii) *Next, we compare one and two-parameter approximation results for particular values of n,k_1,k_2 and q, and demonstrate the admissibility of parameters as follows:*

Table 1: One and two-parameter bounds.

Parameter	Approximation	(k_1,k_2)	n	$q=0.11$	$q=0.12$	$q=0.13$	$q=0.14$
One	Poisson	(3,4)	50	0.233227	0.283377	0.339448	0.401705
	Pseudo-binomial			0.244112	0.297621	0.357715	0.424736
	Negative binomial			0.243989	0.297408	0.357363	0.424175
Two	Pseudo-binomial			×	×	0.048117	0.071171
	Negative binomial			0.019354	0.031167	×	×
One	Poisson	(3,5)	150	0.233722	0.284170	0.340619	0.403345
	Pseudo-binomial			0.246164	0.300451	0.361501	0.429674
	Negative binomial			0.246023	0.300207	0.361095	0.429027
Two	Pseudo-binomial			×	0.031718	0.048206	0.071019
	Negative binomial			0.020179	×	×	×
One	Poisson	(4,5)	250	0.028102	0.037287	0.048435	0.061786
	Pseudo-binomial			0.029635	0.039468	0.051455	0.065871
	Negative binomial			0.029633	0.039465	0.051447	0.065855
Two	Pseudo-binomial			0.000302	0.000568	0.001017	0.001744
	Negative binomial			×	×	×	×

× ≡ not applicable due to condition on $s_{n,k}$ (inadmissibility of the parameters).

Note that two-parameter approximation is better than one-parameter approximation, as expected.

References

[1] Aki, S. (1997). On sooner and later problems between success and failure runs. *Advances in Combinatorial Methods and Applications to Probability and Statistics* (Ed., N. Balakrishnan), 385-400, Borkhäuser, Boston.

[2] Aki, S., Kuboki, H. and Hirano, K. (1984). On discrete distributions of order k. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **36**, 431–440.

[3] Antzoulakos, D. L., Bersimis, S., Koutras, M. V. (2003). Waiting times associated with the sum of success run lengths. In: Lindqvist, B., Doksum, K. (Eds.), *Mathematical and Statistical Methods in Reliability*. World Scientific, Singapore, 141–157.

[4] Antzoulakos, D. L. and Chadjiconstantinidis, S. (2001). Distributions of numbers of success runs of fixed length in Markov dependent trials. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **53**, 599–619.

[5] Balakrishnan, N. and Koutras, M. V. (2002). Runs and Scans with Applications, *John Wiley & Sons*, New York.

[6] Barbour, A. D. (1990). Stein's method for diffusion approximations. *Probab. Theory and Related Fields*, **84**, 297-322.

[7] Barbour, A. D., Čekanavičius, V. and Xia, A. (2007). On Stein's method and perturbations. *ALEA*, **3**, 31-53.

[8] Barbour, A. D. and Chen, L. H. Y. (2014). Stein's (magic) method. Preprint:arXiv:1411.1179.

[9] Barbour, A. D., Chen, L. H. Y. and Loh, W. L. (1992). Compound Poisson approximation for nonnegative random variables via Stein's method. *Ann. Prob.*, **20**, 1843-1866.

[10] Barbour, A. D., Holst, L. and Janson, S. (1992). Poisson Approximation. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

[11] Čekanavičius, V. (2016). Approximation Methods in Probability Theory. *Springer, Cham,* Universitext.

[12] Čekanavičius, V. and Roos, B. (2004). Two-parametric compound binomial approximations. *Lith. Math. J.*, **44**, 354-373.

[13] Chen, L. H. Y., Goldstein, L. and Shao, Q.-M. (2011). Normal Approximation by Stein's Method. *Springer*, Heidelberg.

[14] Dafnis, S. D., Antzoulakos, D. L. and Philippou, A. N. (2010). Distribution related to (k_1, k_2) events. *J. Stat. Plan. Inference*, **140**, 1691-1700.

[15] Eichelsbacher, P. and Reinert, G. (2008). Stein's method for discrete Gibbs measures. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **18**, 1588-1618.

[16] Fu, J. C. and Koutras, M. V. (1994). Distribution theory of runs: a Markov chain approach, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, **89**, 1050-1058.

[17] Huang, W. T. and Tsai, C. S. (1991). On a modified binomial distribution of order k. *Statist. Prob. Lett.*. **11**, 125-131.

[18] Koutras, M. V. (1997). Waiting time distributions associated with runs of fixed length in two-state Markov chains, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **49**, 123-139.

[19] Ley, C., Reinert G. and Swan, Y. (2014). Stein's method for comparison of univariate distributions. Preprint:arXiv:1408.2998v3.

[20] Kumar, A. N. and Upadhye, N. S. (2016). On perturbations of Stein operator. Comm. Statist. Theory Methods. DOI:10.1080/03610926.2016.1206937.

[21] Kumar, A. N. and Upadhye, N. S. (2016). Pseudo-binomial Approximation to (k_1, k_2)-runs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.07847*.

[22] Makri, F. S., Philippou, A. N. and Psillakis, Z. M. (2007). Shortest and longest length of success runs in binary sequences. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 137.7, 2226–2239.

[23] Norudin, I. and Peccati, G. (2012). Normal Approximations with Malliavin Calculus. From Stein's Method to Universality. *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics No. 192.*

[24] Philippou, A. N., Georghiou, C. and Philippou, G. N. (1983). A generalized distribution and some of its properties. *Statist. Prob. Lett.* **1**, 171–175.

[25] Philippou, A. N. and Makri, A. (1986). Success, runs and longest runs. *Statist. Prob. Lett.* **4**, 211–215.

[26] Reinert, G. (2005). Three general approaches to Stein's method. An introduction to Stein's method, *Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap.*, **4**, Singapore University Press, Singapore, 183-221.

[27] Stein, C. (1972). A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables, *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.*, **2**, 583-602. University of California Press, Berkeley.

[28] Upadhye, N. S., Čekanavičius V. and Vellaisamy, P. (2014). On Stein operators for discrete approximations. *To appear in Bernoulli.*

[29] Vellaisamy, P. (2004). Poisson approximation for (k_1, k_2)-events via the Stein–Chen method. *J. Appl. Probab.* **41**, 1081–1092.

[30] Vellaisamy, P., Upadhye, N. S., and Čekanavičius, V. (2013). On Negative Binomial Approximation. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **57**(1), 97-109.